

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JAYAKRISHNAN NAIR, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

TITAN TOWING, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02255-APG-EJY

Order De-Consolidating Cases

JAYAKRISHNAN NAIR, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

DANIEL LEAL, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:25-cv-01257-APG-EJY

These two cases were consolidated because they seemed to involve common questions of law or fact. ECF No. 28. Nair filed the second case because he could not file a third amended complaint in the first case while his motion for reconsideration was pending. *See* Case No. 2:25-cv-1257-APG-EJY, ECF No. 1-1 at 9. I have now denied his motion for reconsideration and that case remains closed. Thus, there is no longer any reason to keep the cases consolidated.

////

////

////

////

////

1 I THEREFORE ORDER that these two cases are de-consolidated. The clerk of court is
2 directed to re-open Case No. 2:25-cv-1257-APG-EJY, assign it to me and Magistrate Judge
3 Youchah, and reinstate the motions for leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis, to file electronically,
4 and to remand (ECF Nos. 1, 3, 4).

5 DATED this 20th day of August, 2025.

6
7 

8 _____
9 ANDREW P. GORDON
10 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23